## SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, JULY 6, 2022 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S275222

LOPEZ (ARTHUR) v. S.C. (OUR LADY QUEEN OF ANGELS CATHOLIC CHURCH)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition & application for stay denied

S185640

PEOPLE v. KELLEY (JIMMY DALE)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Diane E. Berley's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by November 2, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 2, 2022. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 61 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S189296

PEOPLE v. PANIAGUA, JR., (RODRIGO ORTIZ)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 6, 2022.

S200982

PEOPLE v. RONQUILLO (GABRIEL ALEXANDER)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Senior Deputy State Public Defender Andrea Asaro's representation that appellant intends to file a comprehensive reply brief, comprising appellant's reply to the second supplemental respondent's brief and appellant's reply to the original and supplemental respondent's briefs, which is anticipated to be filed by January 27, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to August 29, 2022. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 151 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the

anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S208354

PEOPLE v. HIRSCHFIELD (RICHARD JOSEPH)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General John W. Powell's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by November 2, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to August 30, 2022. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 62 days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S216466

PEOPLE v. BALCOM (JASON MICHAEL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Stephen M. Lathrop's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by October 17, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 6, 2022. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 42 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S217284

JONES (BRYAN MAURICE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Cliona Plunkett's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by November 17, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to September 6, 2022. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 72 additional days are contemplated.

S221846

PEOPLE v. HALEY (KEVIN BERNARD)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 6, 2022.

S226030

PEOPLE v. CORONADO, JR., (JUAN RAMON)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 6, 2022.

S232428

PEOPLE v. PASASOUK (KA)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 6, 2022.

S239724

PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (JOHNNY)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 30, 2022.

S269212 B304217 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

CALIFORNIA MEDICAL ASSOCIATION v. AETNA HEALTH OF CALIFORNIA, INC.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and respondent, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answers to amicus curiae briefs is extended to August 22, 2022.

**S271049** D076916 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. MUMIN (AHMED)** Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to August 4, 2022.

S273149

BERUMEN (ADRIAN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to the informal response is extended to July 29, 2022.

S274994

McCOY, JR., (LaKEITH LeROY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to August 4, 2022.

S274963

PEOPLE v. HOUSER (MATTHEW STERLING)

Order filed

Respondent's "Objection to the service and filing of appellant's request to depublish" is construed as an application for relief from default. So construed, the application is granted. It is ordered that the time to serve and file the opposition to San Diego City Attorney's Office's request for depublication is extended to July 11, 2022.

S275201

DAVIS, SR., (JOHN LESLIE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S275307

ALLERGY ASTHMA CLINIC BURLINGAME, INCORPORATED v. S.C. (COWAN)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S275337

MARTIN (JARED) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.